JUL 0 6 2004 By Hard Control 15 C

1FW PCG/723

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Reduction of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

Application Number 10/081,315

for Continued Examination (RCE) Transmittal

Address to:
Mail Stop RCE
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Application Number	10/081,315		
Filing Date	02/21/2002		
First Named Inventor	Eric H. Simonson		
Art Unit	1723		
Examiner Name	Matthew O. Savage		
Attorney Docket Number	1247-1		

This is a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 of the above-identified application.

Request for Continued Examination (RCE) practice under 37 CFR 1.114 does not apply to any utility or plant application filed prior to June 8, 1995, or to any design application. See Instruction Sheet for RCEs (not to be submitted to the USPTO) on page 2.

1.	1. Submission required under 37 CFR 1.114 Note: If the RCE is proper, any previously filed unentered amendments and amendments enclosed with the RCE will be entered in the order in which they were filed unless applicant instructs otherwise. If applicant does not wish to have any previously filed unentered amendment(s) entered, applicant must request non-entry of such amendment(s).					
	a. Previously submitted. If a final Office action is outstanding, any amendments filed after the final Office action may be considered as a submission even if this box is not checked.					
	i. Consider the arguments in the Appeal Brief or Rely Brief previously filed on					
	ii. Other Amendment filed 12/31/2003					
	b.	v	En	closed		
		i.		Amendment/Reply iii. Information Disclosure Statement (IDS)		
		ii.		Affidavit(s)/ Declaration(s) iv. Other Statement of Substance		
2. Miscellaneous						
Suspension of action on the above-identified application is requested under 37 CFR 1.103(c) for a						
	a.		٠.	eriod of months. (Period of suspension shall not exceed 3 months; Fee under 37 CFR 1.17(i) required)		
	b.		O.	ther		
3. Fees The RCE fee under 37 CFR 1.17(e) is required by 37 CFR 1.114 when the RCE is filed.						
The Director is hereby authorized to charge the following fees, or credit any overpayments, to						
l	a.		De	eposit Account No. <u>08-1540</u>		
l		i.	L	RCE fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(e)		
		ii.		Extension of time fee (37 CFR 1.136 and 1.17)		
		iii.	V	Other any deficiencies associated with these papers		
	b.	V	Cr	neck in the amount of \$_\$385.00;\$475.00enclosed		
	C.	$\overline{\Box}$	Pa	ayment by credit card (Form PTO-2038 enclosed)		
WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.						
=	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			=	
Nam	1e (Pri	int/Type	1	SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT REQUIRED Kenneth F. Florek, Esq. Registration No. (Attorney/Agent) 33,173	_	
	ature		_	Date July 2, 2004		
			_	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION	_	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop RCE, Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 or facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark						

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.114. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentially is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS, SEND TO: Mail Stop RCE, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Date July 2, 2004

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

Name (Print/Type)

Signature

Kenneth F. Florek, Esq.



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE PATENT OPERATION

In re application of:

Eric H. Simonson

Serial No.: 10/081,315

Group Art Unit: 1723

Filed: February 2, 2002

Examiner: Matthew O. Savage

For: FILTER HOUSING WITH INTERCHANGEABLE

FILTER MOUNTING PLATE

New York, NY 10036 July 2, 2004

Mail Stop RCE Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW AND SHIFT OF ELECTION OF SPECIES

Sir:

Applicant provides the present statement of substance of the Examiner Interview pursuant to the Interview Summary mailed on June 23, 2004 in the above identified application. During an interview with the Examiner on June 22, 2004, the Examiner indicated that the amendment filed on December 21, 2003 was not responsive in that it was directed to an embodiment other than the species previously elected by the applicant. Applicant's undersigned representative explained that the

I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail on July 2, 2004 in an envelope addressed to:

Mail Stop RCE Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Kenneth F. Florek, Reg. No. 33,173

invention was not any particular species, but instead comprised the generic filter system having interchangeable parts to permit a variety of filtering options. As such, applicant did not seek to claim any particular species but rather the generic invention as described it the specification.

Applicant's representative further indicated that the generic system is defined by Claim 1 of the Amendment filed on December 31, 2003, which is directed to a system having a plurality of interchangeable mounting plates. In this regard, the first mounting plate has a mount for releasably attaching a first type fluid treatment element and the second mounting plate has a mount for releasably attaching a second type fluid treatment element. Thus, the system claimed can be adapted to different filtering operations.

Applicant's representative referred to the original application filed, and the clear focus of the invention described therein as a filter system that can be adapted to a variety of fluid treatment options. As such, no particular species of the invention is significant. Rather, the invention is the system with its interchangeable elements.

This generic system is broadly claimed as having a plurality of interchangeable mounting plates, each for mounting a different type of fluid treatment element. Of course, other interchangeable elements are contemplated, as described and claimed. Therefore, the election to a particular species is only effective if the generic claim is not allowed, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.141(a).

In this regard, the Examiner stated that he would consider the claims presented in the December 31, 2003 Amendment if an RCE were filed in the application with a request to shift from the species having a single mounting plate to the invention having two types of mounting plates.

As such, applicant herein formally requests a shift from the species having a single mounting plate to the invention including two types of mounting plates as recited in the claims presented in the December 31, 2003 Amendment. A representative example of the invention claimed is shown in Figures 1 and 2, and applicant elects these species in the event that a generic claim is not allowed under 37 C.F.R. §1.141(a).

Notwithstanding, all of the drawing figures describe various combinations that are possible with the present claimed invention and are considered to fall within the

spirit and scope of the generic invention claimed. Applicant therefore requests that the scope of the invention be considered as such.

In light of the foregoing, favorable consideration of the claims presented in the December 31, 2003 Amendment is respectfully requested and earnestly solicited at this time.

Respectfully submitted,

Kenneth F. Florek Reg. No. 33,173

MAILING ADDRESS

Hedman & Costigan, P.C. 1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 (212) 302-8989